Kansas Multi-Tier System of Supports and Alignment

Research Base Version 2.0

November 2009



www.kansasmtss.org

©2009 Kansas MTSS and Alignment KSDE-SES – IDEA Part B Funded

Introduction

The Kansas Multi-Tier System of Supports and Alignment (MTSS): Research Base document has been created to assist in the understanding of the research base that underlies the principles and practices of MTSS. This document is not intended to be a comprehensive review of all the research that supports MTSS but a highlight of some of the main pieces of work that have been done to provide a starting point for further research by any interested individual. Also, this document will never truly be 'completed' since the research available that guides our educational practices is growing on a daily basis. This document will be updated periodically in an attempt to keep current with any new findings that further our understanding and guide our thinking to best provide support to all students so they will learn.

Structure

This document is structured to align with the document titled *Kansas Multi-Tier System of Supports and Alignment: Innovation Configuration Matrix (ICM).* There are additional research citations that are also provided for principles and practices that did not make it into the ICM but are essential to the beliefs that individuals embrace when they begin the structuring and implementation of a MTSS. Those items are included at the beginning of the document labeled as essential elements.

The contents of this resource were developed under an agreement from the Federal Department of Education to the Kansas State Department of Education. However, the contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and endorsement by the Kansas State Department of Education or the Federal Government should not be assumed. Kansas MTSS and Alignment is funded through Part B funds administered by the Kansas State Department of Education's Special Education and Title Services. Keystone Learning Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, disability, or age in this program and activities. The following person has been designated to handle inquiries regarding the non-discrimination policies: Keystone Learning Services Executive Director, 500 E. Sunflower Blvd, Ozawkie, KS 66070, 785-876-2214.

Essential Elements of a Multi-Tier System of Supports

	s of a while ther system of supports
Multi-Tier Model	 Burns, M. K., Appleton, J. J., Stehouwer, J. D. (2005). Meta-analytic review of responsiveness-to-intervention research: Examining field-based and research-implemented models. <i>Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 23</i>, 381–394. Duffy, H. (2007). Meeting the needs of significantly struggling learners in high school: A look at approaches to tiered intervention. U.S. Department of Education, National High School Center. Hartman, W.T. & Fay, T.A. (1996). Cost-effectiveness of instructional support teams in Pennsylvania. <i>Journal of Education Finance</i>, 21(4), 555-580. Simmons, D.K., Kame'enui, E.J., Good, R.H., Harn, B.A., Cole, C., & Braun, D. (2002). Building, implementing, and sustaining a beginning reading improvement model: Lessons learned school by school. In M. Shinn, H. Walker, & G. Stoner (Eds.), <i>Interventions for academic and behavior problems II: Preventive and remedial approach</i> (pp. 537-570). Bethesda, MD: National Association of School Psychologists. Vaughn Gross Center for Reading and Language Arts at the University of Texas at Austin (2005). <i>Introduction to the three-tier reading model: Reducing reading difficulties for kindergarten through third-grade students (4th ed.). Austin, TX: author.</i> Windram, H., Scierka, B., & Siberglitt, B. (2007). Response to intervention at the secondary level: Two districts' models of implementation. <i>Communiqué, 35</i>(5).
Intervening Early	Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach
	to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children, 31</i> (6).
	Lyon, G.R., Fletcher, J.M., Shaywitz, S.E., Shaywitz, B.A., Torgesen, J.K., Wood, F.B., et. Al. (2001). Rethinking learning disabilities. In C.E. Finn, Jr., R.A. J., Rotherham, & C.R., Hokansen, Jr. (Eds.), <i>Rethinking special education for a new century</i> (pp. 259-287). Washington, DC: Thompas B. Fordham Foundation and Progressive Policy Institute.
	O'Conner, R.E., Fulmer, D., Harty, K.R., & Bell, K.M. (2005). Layers of Reading Intervention in Kindergarten through third grade: Changes in teaching and student outcomes. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 38</i> (5) 440-455.
	Torgesen, J.K. (2004). Avoiding the devastating downward spiral: The evidence that early intervention prevents reading failure. <i>American Educator, 28,</i> 6-19. Also at http://www.aft.org/pubsreports/american_educator/issues/fall04/reading.htm
Groups are Fluid	O'Conner, R.E., Fulmer, D., Harty, K.R., & Bell, K.M. (2005). Layers of Reading Intervention in Kindergarten through third grade: Changes in teaching and student outcomes. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 38</i> (5) 440-455.
	Vaughn, S., & Linan-Thompson, S. (2003). What is special about special education for students with learning disabilities? <i>The Journal of Special Education</i> , <i>37</i> (3) 140-147.

Leadership and Empowerment

onent 1. Effective Leadershin Teams

Con	nponent 1: Effective Le	adership Teams
	Formal leadership teams exist at all levels (e.g., district, building, and site) and include representation	Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i> , 14(1) 1-25.
LEI	from: Administration Staff Learners Families Community Collaborators	Biech, E. (2007). Thriving through change: A leader's practical guide to change Mastery. Alexandria, VA: ASTD Press.
LE2	Each leadership team is known throughout the district/community and meets regularly to address learner academic and behavioral success in an integrated manner.	Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i> , 14(1) 1-25.
LE3	The roles and responsibilities of each leadership team member are clearly identified and agreed upon by the team as a whole.	 Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i>, 14(1) 1-25. Biech, E. (2007). <i>Thriving through change: A leader's practical guide to change Mastery</i>. Alexandria, VA: ASTD Press. Rogers, R.W., Wellins, R.S., & Conner, D.R. (2002) White Paper – The power of realization, from http://www.ddiworld.com/research/publications.asp
LE4	The leadership team regularly engages in formal problem solving using district/building/site level data which is supported by an agile data/software system that provides frequent and up-to-date reports that allow data- based decision making to occur for addressing both academics and behavior. Data are shared with district, building and community.	 Bernhardt, V. L. (1998). Data analysis for comprehensive school improvement. Larchmont, NY Eye on Education. Holcomb, E. L. (1999). Getting excited about data. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press. Johnson, R. S. (2002). Using data to close the achievement gap: How to measure equity in our schools. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, Inc. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. Focus on Exceptional Children, 31(6). Noyce, P., Perda, D., & Traver, R., (2000). Creating data-driven schools. Educational Leadership, 57, 52-56. Rafoth, M.A. & Foriska, T. (2006). Administrator Participation in Promoting Effective Problem-Solving Teams. Remedial and Special Education, 27(3), 130-135. Schmoker, M. (1996). Results. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

	identifies and implements multiple indicators of academic and behavioral	approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children,</i> 31(6).
	success and formally communicates those indicators as measures of learning.	Rogers, R.W., Wellins, R.S., & Conner, D.R. (2002) White Paper – The power of realization, from http://www.ddiworld.com/research/publications.asp
	The leadership team uses data and input from staff and community collaborators to determine	Gersten, R., Chard, D., & Baker, S. (2000). Factors enhancing sustained use of research-based instructional practices. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 33</i> (5) 445-457.
36	professional development needs. The team plans and supports professional	Joyce, B. & Showers, B. (1980). Improving inservice training: The messages of research. <i>Educational Leadership, 37,</i> 379-385.
LE6	development for developing expertise specific to both academic and behavior to meet the	Office of Special Education Programs Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. (2004). <i>School-wide positive behavior support implementers'</i> <i>blueprint and self-assessment</i> . Eugene, OR: University of Oregon.
	needs of learners at each tier of support.	Rogers, R.W., Wellins, R.S., & Conner, D.R. (2002) White Paper – The power of realization, from http://www.ddiworld.com/research/publications.asp
7	A communication plan that provides information and data on a formal and frequent basis is developed	Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i> , 14(1) 1-25.
LE7	and utilized to communicate with district, building and community collaborators about MTSS.	Rogers, R.W., Wellins, R.S., & Conner, D.R. (2002) White Paper – The power of realization, from http://www.ddiworld.com/research/publications.asp
Cor	nponent 2: Creating an	Empowering Culture
LE8	The system, including staff and families, impacts learning through the intentional design and	Denton, C.A., Vaughn, S., & Fletcher, J.M. (2003). Bringing research-based practice in reading intervention to scale. <i>Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, 18</i> (3), 201-211.
	redesign of the curriculum, instruction and environment.	Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i> , <i>31</i> (6).
LE9	The leadership team, all staff, and families have a collaborative responsibility for data-based decision making and problem	Denton, C.A., Vaughn, S., & Fletcher, J.M. (2003). Bringing research-based practice in reading intervention to scale. <i>Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, 18</i> (3), 201-211.
	solving to improve academic and behavioral achievement.	

LE10	The leadership team, all staff, families, and community collaborators have developed knowledge of and come to consensus regarding the implementation of MTSS.	 Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i>, 14(1) 1-25. Rogers, R.W., Wellins, R.S., & Conner, D.R. (2002) White Paper – The power of realization, from http://www.ddiworld.com/research/publications.asp
LE11	Professional development for staff and family involvement opportunities are aligned with the principles and practices of MTSS and include ongoing support and coaching.	 Denton, C.A., Vaughn, S., & Fletcher, J.M. (2003). Bringing research-based practice in reading intervention to scale. <i>Learning Disabilities Research & Practice</i>, <i>18</i>(3), 201-211. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i>, <i>31</i>(6).
LE12	Learner experiences are customized in ways that make content relevant and enable learning.	Denton, C.A., Vaughn, S., & Fletcher, J.M. (2003). Bringing research-based practice in reading intervention to scale. <i>Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, 18</i> (3), 201-211. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children,</i> <i>31</i> (6).
LE13	The data are openly shared and implications for instruction are discussed at all levels within the school, with families, and the community, including the celebration of improved indicators of success.	 Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i>, <i>14</i>(1) 1-25. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i>, <i>31</i>(6).
LE14	The leadership team engages families in their child's education through the development of a parent involvement policy that supports the implementation of the strategies contained in the six areas of the National Standards for Family School Partnerships.	Caspe, M., Lopez, M.E., Wolos, C. (2006). <i>Family involvement in elementary</i> <i>school childre's education</i> . (Family Involvement Makes a Difference No. 2). Retrieved August 17, 2008, from www.hfrp.org/publications- resources/publications-series/family-involvement-makes-a-difference/family- involvement-in-elementary-school-children-s-education

Assessment

Cor	Component 1: Comprehensive Assessment System		
	The assessment system includes tools to measure all essential components of academics and behavior.	Freeman, R., Smith, C., & Tieghi-Benet, M. (2003). Promoting implementation success through the use of continuous systems-level assessment strategies. <i>Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions</i> , 5(2), 66-70.	
$\mathbf{A1}$		Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i> , <i>31</i> (6).	
		The National Mathematics Advisory Panel. (2008). <i>Foundations for Success. The Final Report of the National Mathematics Advisory Panel</i> , US Department of Education: Washington DC.	
	The assessment system for academics and behavior includes: • Universal Screening	Fuchs, L. & Fuchs, D. (2007). The role of assessment in the three-tier approach to reading instruction. In Haager, D., Klinger, J., and Vaughn, S. (Eds.), <i>Evidence-Based Reading Practices for Response to Intervention</i> . Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.	
	 Diagnostic /Functional Behavioral Assessment Progress Monitoring Outcomes 	Good, R.H., Simmons, D.C., & Smith, S.B. (1998). Effective academic interventions in the United States: Evaluating and enhancing the acquisition of early reading skills. <i>School Psychology Review, 27</i> , 45-56.	
		Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i> , <i>31</i> (6).	
A2		Screening Baldian, N.A. (1998). A validation of the role of preschool phonological and orthographic skills in the prediction of reading. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities</i> , <i>31</i> , 472-481.	
7		Glover, T.A. & Albers, C.A. (2007). Considerations for evaluating universal screening assessments. <i>Journal of School Psychology, 42</i> (2), 117-135.	
		Good, R.H., Simmons, D.C., &Kame'enui, E.J. (2001). The importance of decision-making utility of a continuum of fluency-based indicators of foundational reading skills for third grade high-stakes outcomes. <i>Scientific Studies of Reading</i> , <i>5</i> , 257-288.	
		Jenkings, J.R. (2003). Candidate measures for screening at-risk students. Paper presented at the NRCLD Responsiveness-to-Intervention Symposium, Kansas City, MO.	
		McIntosh, K., Horner, R.H., Chard, D.J., Boland, J.B., and Good III, R.H. (2006). The use of reading and behavior screening measures to predict nonresponse to school-wide positive behavior support: A longitudinal analysis, <i>School</i> <i>Psychology Review</i> , 35 (2), 275-291.	

OConner, R.E. & Jenkins, J.R. (1999). The prediction of reading disabilities in kindergarten and first grade. Scientific Studies of Reading. 3, 159-197. Vaughn, S., Wanzek, J., Woodruff, A., & Linan-Thompson, S. (2007). Prevention and early identification of students with reading disabilities. In Haager, D., Klinger, J., and Vaughn, S. (Eds.), <i>Evidence-Based Reading Proctices for Response to Intervention</i> . Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing. Diagnostic Nelson, J.R., Benner G.J., & Gonzalez, J. (2003). Learner characteristics that influence the treatment effectiveness of early literaxy interventions: A meta-analytic review. Learning Disabilities Research and Practice, 16, 255-267. Progress Monitoring Foorman, B.R., & Torgeson, J.K. (2001). Critical elements of classroom and small-group instruction promote reading success in all children. <i>Learning Disabilities Research and Practice</i> , 16(4), 202-211. Fuchs, L.S., Deno, S.L., & Mirkin, P.K. (1984). Effects of frequent curriculum-based measurement on pedagogy, student achievement, and student awareness of learning. American Educational Research Journal, 21, 449-460. Fuchs, L.S., Fuchs, D., & Compton, D.L. (2004). Monitoring early reading development in first grade: Word identification fluency versus nonsense word fluency. Exceptional Children, 71, 7-21. Fuchs, L.S., Fuchs, D., O. (1986). Effects of systematic formative evaluation on student achievement: A meta-analysis. Exceptional Children, 53, 199-208. McMaster, K. & Wagner, D. (2007). Monitoring response to general education instruction. In S. R., Imerson, M. K. Burrs, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention. Ins. W. Yo			
Openant Set			O'Conner, R.E. & Jenkins, J.R. (1999). The prediction of reading disabilities in kindergarten and first grade. <i>Scientific Studies of Reading, 3,</i> 159-197.
Puigoon J. R., Benner G. J., & Gonzalez, J. (2003). Learner characteristics that influence the treatment effectiveness of early literacy interventions: A meta- analytic review. Learning Disabilities Research and Practice, 18, 255-267. Progress Monitoring Foorman, B. R., & Torgeson, J.K. (2001). Critical elements of classroom and small-group instruction promote reading success in all children. Learning Disabilities Research and Practice, 16(4), 202-211. Fuchs, L.S., Deno, S.L., & Mirkin, P.K. (1984). Effects of frequent curriculum- based measurement on pedagogy, student achievement, and student awareness of learning. American Educational Research Journal, 21, 449-460. Fuchs, L.S., Fuchs, D., & Compton, D.L. (2004). Monitoring early reading development in first grade: Word identification fluency versus nonsense word fluency. Exceptional Children, 71, 7-21. Fuchs, L.S., Fuchs, D. (1986). Effects of systematic formative evaluation on student achievement: A meta-analysis. Exceptional Children, 53, 199-208. McMaster, K. & Wagner, D. (2007). Monitoring response to general education instruction. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention. New York: Springer. Silberglitt, B. & Hintze, J. (2005). Formative assessment using CBM-R cut scores to track progress toward success on state-mandated achievement tests: A comparison of methods. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 23(4), 304- 325. Component 2: Assessments are Valid and Reliable Deno, S.L., Mirkin, P., & Chiang, B. (1982). Identifying valid measures of reading. Exceptional Children, 49 (1), 36-45. Foorman, B.			and early identification of students with reading disabilities. In Haager, D., Klinger, J., and Vaughn, S. (Eds.), <i>Evidence-Based Reading Practices for Response</i>
Purpoon Foorman, B.R., & Torgeson, J.K. (2001). Critical elements of classroom and small-group instruction promote reading success in all children. Learning Disabilities Research and Practice, 16(4), 202-211. Fuchs, L.S., Deno, S.L., & Mirkin, P.K. (1984). Effects of frequent curriculumbased measurement on pedagogy, student achievement, and student awareness of learning. American Educational Research Journal, 21, 449-460. Fuchs, L.S., Luchs, D., & Compton, D.L. (2004). Monitoring early reading development in first grade: Word identification fluency versus nonsense word fluency. Exceptional Children, 71, 7-21. Fuchs, L.S., Kuchs, D., and Fuchs, Douglas, Using Curriculum-Based Measurement for Progress Monitoring in Math, http://studentprogress.org. Fuchs, L.S. & Fuchs, D. (1986). Effects of systematic formative evaluation on student achievement: A meta-analysis. Exceptional Children, 53, 199-208. McMaster, K. & Wagner, D. (2007). Monitoring response to general education instruction. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention. New York: Springer. Silberglitt, B. & Hintze, J. (2005). Formative assessment using CBM-R cut scores to track progress toward success on state-mandated achievement tests: A companison of methods. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 23(4), 304-325. Component 2: Assessments are Valid and Reliable Deno, S.L., Mirkin, P., & Chiang, B. (1982). Identifying valid measures of reading. Exceptional Children, 49 (1), 36-45. Adequacy of each assessment tool used. Peno, S.L., Mirkin, P., M. Chademic and Reading Skills and the University of Houston			Nelson, J.R., Benner G.J., & Gonzalez, J. (2003). Learner characteristics that influence the treatment effectiveness of early literacy interventions: A meta-
based measurement on pedagogy, student achievement, and student awareness of learning. American Educational Research Journal, 21, 449-460. Fuchs, L.S., Fuchs, D., & Compton, D.L. (2004). Monitoring early reading development in first grade: Word identification fluency versus nonsense word fluency. Exceptional Children, 71, 7-21. Fuchs, L.S., Kuchs, D., & Compton, D.L. (2004). Monitoring early reading development in first grade: Word identification fluency versus nonsense word fluency. Exceptional Children, 71, 7-21. Fuchs, Lynn S. and Fuchs, Douglas, Using Curriculum-Based Measurement for Progress Monitoring in Math, http://studentprogress.org. Fuchs, L.S. &Fuchs, D. (1986). Effects of systematic formative evaluation on student achievement: A meta-analysis. Exceptional Children, 53, 199-208. McMaster, K. & Wagner, D. (2007). Monitoring response to general education instruction. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention. New York: Springer. Silberglitt, B. & Hintze, J. (2005). Formative assessment using CBM-R cut scores to track progress toward success on state-mandated achievement tests: A comparison of methods. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 23(4), 304-325. Component 2: Assessments are Valid and Reliable Deno, S.L., Mirkin, P., & Chiang, B. (1982). Identifying valid measures of reading. Exceptional Children, 49 (1), 36-45. adequacy of each assessment tool used. Foorman, B.R., Fletcher, J.M., Fances, D.J., Carlson, C.D., Chen, D., Mouzaki, A., et al. (1998). Technical report for the Texas Primary Reading Inventory (1998 ed.). Houston: Center			Foorman, B.R., & Torgeson, J.K. (2001). Critical elements of classroom and small-group instruction promote reading success in all children. <i>Learning</i>
Advelopment in first grade: Word identification fluency versus nonsense word fluency. Exceptional Children, 71, 7-21. Fuchs, Lynn S. and Fuchs, Douglas, Using Curriculum-Based Measurement for Progress Monitoring in Math, http://studentprogress.org. Fuchs, L.S. &Fuchs, D. (1986). Effects of systematic formative evaluation on student achievement: A meta-analysis. Exceptional Children, 53, 199-208. McMaster, K. & Wagner, D. (2007). Monitoring response to general education instruction. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention. New York: Springer. Silberglitt, B. & Hintze, J. (2005). Formative assessment using CBM-R cut scores to track progress toward success on state-mandated achievement tests: A comparison of methods. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 23(4), 304-325. Component 2: Assessments are Valid and Reliable The staff has independently documented technical adequacy of each assessment tool used. Deno, S.L., Mirkin, P., & Chiang, B. (1982). Identifying valid measures of reading. Exceptional Children, 49 (1), 36-45. Foorman, B.R., Fletcher, J.M., Fances, D.J., Carlson, C.D., Chen, D., Mouzaki, A., et al. (1998). Technical report for the Texas Primary Reading Inventory (1998 ed.). Houston: Center for Academic and Reading Skills and the University of Houston, from http://www.tpri.org/documents/19971998TechnicalManual.pdf	ontinued		based measurement on pedagogy, student achievement, and student awareness
Progress Monitoring in Math, http://studentprogress.org. Fuchs, L.S. &Fuchs, D. (1986). Effects of systematic formative evaluation on student achievement: A meta-analysis. Exceptional Children, 53, 199-208. McMaster, K. & Wagner, D. (2007). Monitoring response to general education instruction. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention. New York: Springer. Silberglitt, B. & Hintze, J. (2005). Formative assessment using CBM-R cut scores to track progress toward success on state-mandated achievement tests: A comparison of methods. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 23(4), 304-325. Component 2: Assessments are Valid and Reliable The staff has independently documented technical adequacy of each assessment tool used. Deno, S.L., Mirkin, P., & Chiang, B. (1982). Identifying valid measures of reading. Exceptional Children, 49 (1), 36-45. Foorman, B.R., Fletcher, J.M., Fances, D.J., Carlson, C.D., Chen, D., Mouzaki, A., et al. (1998). Technical report for the Texas Primary Reading Inventory (1998 ed.). Houston: Center for Academic and Reading Skills and the University of Houston, from http://www.tpri.org/documents/19971998TechnicalManual.pdf	A2 c		development in first grade: Word identification fluency versus nonsense word
Student achievement: A meta-analysis. Exceptional Children, 53, 199-208.McMaster, K. & Wagner, D. (2007). Monitoring response to general education instruction. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention. New York: Springer.Silberglitt, B. & Hintze, J. (2005). Formative assessment using CBM-R cut scores to track progress toward success on state-mandated achievement tests: A comparison of methods. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 23(4), 304- 325.Component 2: Assessments are Valid and Reliable Deno, S.L., Mirkin, P., & Chiang, B. (1982). Identifying valid measures of reading. Exceptional Children, 49 (1), 36-45.VAcquacy of each assessment tool used.Acquacy of each assessment tool used.Foorman, B.R., Fletcher, J.M., Fances, D.J., Carlson, C.D., Chen, D., Mouzaki, A., et al. (1998). Technical report for the Texas Primary Reading Inventory (1998 ed.). Houston: Center for Academic and Reading Skills and the University of Houston, from http://www.tpri.org/documents/19971998TechnicalManual.pdf			
Instruction. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention. New York: Springer.Silberglitt, B. & Hintze, J. (2005). Formative assessment using CBM-R cut scores to track progress toward success on state-mandated achievement tests: A comparison of methods. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 23(4), 304- 325.Component 2: Assessments are Valid and ReliableDeno, S.L., Mirkin, P., & Chiang, B. (1982). Identifying valid measures of reading. Exceptional Children, 49 (1), 36-45.The staff has independently documented technical adequacy of each assessment tool used.Deno, S.L., Fletcher, J.M., Fances, D.J., Carlson, C.D., Chen, D., Mouzaki, A., et al. (1998). Technical report for the Texas Primary Reading Inventory (1998 ed.). Houston: Center for Academic and Reading Skills and the University of 			
to track progress toward success on state-mandated achievement tests: A comparison of methods. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 23(4), 304- 325.Component 2: Assessments are Valid and ReliableThe staff has independently documented technical adequacy of each assessment tool used.Deno, S.L., Mirkin, P., & Chiang, B. (1982). Identifying valid measures of reading. <i>Exceptional Children,</i> 49 (1), 36-45.Corman, B.R., Fletcher, J.M., Fances, D.J., Carlson, C.D., Chen, D., Mouzaki, A., et al. (1998). Technical report for the Texas Primary Reading Inventory (1998 ed.). Houston: Center for Academic and Reading Skills and the University of Houston, from http://www.tpri.org/documents/19971998TechnicalManual.pdf			instruction. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), <i>The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment</i>
 The staff has independently documented technical adequacy of each assessment tool used. Event and the university of Houston, from http://www.tpri.org/documents/19971998TechnicalManual.pdf 			to track progress toward success on state-mandated achievement tests: A comparison of methods. <i>Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 23</i> (4), 304-
independently documented technical adequacy of each assessment tool used. <i>Exceptional Children,</i> 49 (1), 36-45.Sectional Children, 49 (1), 36-45.Foorman, B.R., Fletcher, J.M., Fances, D.J., Carlson, C.D., Chen, D., Mouzaki, A., et al. (1998). <i>Technical report for the Texas Primary Reading Inventory (1998 ed.).</i> Houston: Center for Academic and Reading Skills and the University of Houston, from http://www.tpri.org/documents/19971998TechnicalManual.pdf	Con	nponent 2: Assessmen	ts are Valid and Reliable
Adequacy of each assessment tool used.Foorman, B.R., Fletcher, J.M., Fances, D.J., Carlson, C.D., Chen, D., Mouzaki, A., et al. (1998). Technical report for the Texas Primary Reading Inventory (1998 ed.). Houston: Center for Academic and Reading Skills and the University of Houston, from http://www.tpri.org/documents/19971998TechnicalManual.pdf		The staff has independently	Deno, S.L., Mirkin, P., & Chiang, B. (1982). Identifying valid measures of reading.
A a Gersten, R., Chard, D., & Baker, S. (2000). Factors enhancing sustained use of		adequacy of each	et al. (1998). Technical report for the Texas Primary Reading Inventory (1998 ed.). Houston: Center for Academic and Reading Skills and the University of
	\mathbf{V}		Gersten, R., Chard, D., & Baker, S. (2000). Factors enhancing sustained use of

		research-based instructional practices. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 33</i> (5) 445-457.
		Hintze, J.M., Ryan, A.L., & Stoner, G. (2003). Concurrent validity and diagnostic accuracy of the Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS) and the Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing. <i>School Psychology Review</i> , 32 (4), 541-556.
		Shin, J., Deno, S., & Espin, C. (2000). Technical adequacy of the Maze task for curriculum-based measurement of reading growth. <i>Journal of Special Education</i> , <i>34</i> (3), 164-172.
A4	Data are collected by staff who have been formally trained to reliably and validly administer the instruments.	Gersten, R., Chard, D., & Baker, S. (2000). Factors enhancing sustained use of research-based instructional practices. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 33</i> (5) 445-457.
Con		apacity for Assessment System
	Universal screening of	Torgesen, J. K. (2002). The prevention of reading difficulties. <i>Journal of School</i>
	academic skills occurs at least 3 times per year.	Psychology, 40, 7–26.
A5		Vaughn, S., Wanzek, J., Woodruff, A. L., & Linan-Thompson, S. (2007). Prevention and early identification of students with reading disabilities. In D. Haager, J. Klingner, & S. Vaughn (Eds.), <i>Evidence-Based Reading Practices for</i> <i>Response to Intervention</i> . Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.
A6	Behavior/office discipline referrals are continually tracked by learner, grade, date, time, referring staff, problem behavior, location, persons involved, probable motivation and administrative decision.	Office of Special Education Programs Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. (2004). <i>School-wide positive behavior support implementers'</i> <i>blueprint and self-assessment</i> . Eugene, OR: University of Oregon.
	The staff consistently administers diagnostic/functional behavioral assessments following locally	Fuchs, L. & Fuchs, D. (2007). The role of assessment in the three-tier approach to reading instruction. In Haager, D., Klinger, J., and Vaughn, S. (Eds.), <i>Evidence-Based Reading Practices for Response to Intervention</i> . Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.
$\mathbf{A7}$	documented decision rules.	Hosp, J.L. (2008). Best practices in aligning academic assessment with instruction. In Thomas, A. & Grimes, J. (Eds.), <i>Best Practices in School Psychology V</i> . Bethesda, MD: The National Association of School Psychologists.
		Ketterlin-Geller, L.R., Baker, S.K., & Chard, D.J. (2008). Best practices in mathematics instruction and assessment in secondary settings. In Thomas, A. & Grimes, J. (Eds.), <i>Best Practices in School Psychology V</i> . Bethesda, MD: The National Association of School Psychologists.

A7 continued		 Paris, S. G., Paris, A. H., & Carpenter, R. D. (2002). Effective practices for assessing young readers. In B. Taylor & P. D. Pearson (Eds.), Teaching reading: Effective schools, accomplished teachers (pp. 141-160). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum. Meisels, S. J., & Piker, R. A. (2000). An analysis of early literacy assessments used for instruction (Tech. Rep. No. 3-002). Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Center for the Improvement of Early Reading Achievement.
A8	Frequency of progress monitoring of learners receiving supplemental and intensive instruction in academics and behavior is documented, followed, and based upon research.	Vaughn, S., Wanzek, J., Woodruff, A. L., & Linan-Thompson, S. (2007). Prevention and early identification of students with reading disabilities. In D. Haager, J. Klingner, & S. Vaughn (Eds.), <i>Evidence-Based Reading Practices for</i> <i>Response to Intervention</i> . Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.
Cor	mponent 4: Decision Ma	aking Rules are Clear
49	Teams have clearly documented and consistently follow decision rules to ensure early identification for intervention for learners in both academics and behavior regarding: • Access to supports • Changing supports • Intensifying supports • Exiting supports	 Compton, D. L., Fuchs, D., Fuchs, L. S. & Bryant, J.D. (2006). Selecting at-risk readers in first grade for early intervention: A two-year longitudinal study of decision rules and procedures. <i>Journal of Educational Psychology</i>, 98, 394-409. Fuchs, L. S., & Fuchs, D. (1986). Effects of systematic formative evaluation: A meta-analysis. <i>Exceptional Children</i>, <i>53</i>, 199–208. Good, R.H., Simmons, D.C., Kame'enui, E.J., Kaminski, R.A., & Wallin, J. (2002). Summary of decision rules for intensive, strategic, and benchmark instructional recommendations in kindergarten through third grade. Technical Report No. 11. Eugene, OR: University of Oregon. From http://dibels.uoregon.edu/techreports/decision_rule_summary.pdf Good, R. H., Simmons, D. C., & Kame'enui, E. J. (2001). The importance and decision-making utility of a continuum of fluency-based indicators of foundational reading skills for third grade high-stakes outcomes. <i>Scientific Studies of Reading</i>, 5, 257–288. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i>, <i>31</i>(6). Vaughn, S., & Linan-Thompson, S. (2003). What is special about special education for students with learning disabilities? <i>The Journal of Special</i>

Curriculum

Cor	nponent 1: Curriculum	is Evidence Based
	The staff has formally evaluated and documented the adequacy of all the academic and behavioral	Gersten, R., Chard, D., & Baker, S. (2000). Factors enhancing sustained use of research-based instructional practices. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 33</i> (5) 445-457.
	curricular materials used across tiers and ensured alignment to learner needs, state standards and	Jenkins, J.R., Peyton, J.A., Sanders, E.A., & Vadasy, P.F. (2004). Effects of reading decodable texts in supplemental first-grade tutoring. <i>Scientific Studies of Reading</i> , 8(1), 53—86.
	the evidence base.	Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i> , <i>31</i> (6).
CI		Martens, B.K., Eckert, T.L. Begeny, J.C., Lewandowski, L.J., DiGennaro, F., Montarello, S., et al. (2007). Effects of a fluency-building program on the reading performance of low-achieving second and third grade students. <i>Journal</i> <i>of Behavioral Education, 16,</i> 39-54.
		Santa, C.M. & Hoien, T. (1999). An assessment of Early Steps: A program for early intervention of reading problems. <i>Reading Research Quarterly, 34,</i> 54-79.
		Schneider, W., Roth, E., & Ennemoser, M. (2000). Training phonological skills and letter knowledge in children at risk for dyslexia: A comparison of three kindergarten intervention programs. <i>Journal of Educational Psychology, 92</i> , 284-295.
		Vaughn, S., Wanzek, J., Woodruff, A., & Linan-Thompson, S. (2007). Prevention and early identification of students with reading disabilities. In Haager, D., Klinger, J., and Vaughn, S. (Eds.), <i>Evidence-Based Reading Practices for Response</i> <i>to Intervention</i> . Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.
		Wanzek, J. & Vaughn, S. (2007). Research-based implications from extensive early reading interventions. <i>School Psychology Review</i> , <i>36</i> (4), 541-561.
Cor	nponent 2: Curriculum	Addresses Essential Components Appropriate to Grade Level
	Staff has formally evaluated and documented that all curricular materials address essential academic	National Institute for Literacy (September, 2001). <i>Put Reading First: The Research Blocks for Teaching Children to Read.</i> Jessup, MD: ED Pubs. From http://www.nifl.gov/partnershipforreading/publications/PFRbooklet.pdf
	components.	National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, Inc. (2006). Curriculum focal
C2		Points for Prekindergarten through Grade 8 Mathematics.
С		National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, Inc. (2000). Principles and
		Standards for School Mathematics. Reston, VA: Key Curriculum Press.
		The National Mathematics Advisory Panel. (2008). <i>Foundations for Success. The Final Report of the National Mathematics Advisory Panel</i> , US Department of Education: Washington DC.
C 0		National Reading Panel (April 2000). The National Reading Panel Report:

Con	nponent 3: All Curricula	a are Implemented with Fidelity
	needs of the learners at all tiers, based upon data.	Torgeson, J.K. (2004). Lessons learned from research on interventions for students who have difficulty in learning to read. In McCardle, P. & Chhabra, V. (Eds). <i>The Voice of Evidence in Reading Research</i> , pp. 355-382. Baltimore, MD: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.
C5	instructional materials, and programs/processes for supporting learner behavior that are an appropriate match for the	 31(6). Torgeson, J.K. (2000). Individual differences in response to early interventions in reading: The lingering problem of treatment resisters. <i>Learning Disabilities Research and Practice</i>, <i>15</i>, 55-64.
	The staff select academic curricula, behavioral	 Sugai, G. & Horner, R.H. (2001). Features of an Effective Behavior Support at the School District Level. <i>Beyond Behavior</i>, 11(1) 16-19. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i>,
)		Office of Special Education Programs Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. (2004). <i>School-wide positive behavior support implementers'</i> <i>blueprint and self-assessment</i> . Eugene, OR: University of Oregon.
C4	components of academics and behavior across all tiers.	Luiselli, J.K., Putnam, R. F., Handler, M. W., & Feinerg, A. B. (2005). Whole- school positive behavior support: Effects on student discipline problems and academic performance. <i>Educational Psychology, 25,</i> 183-198.
	There is a formal curriculum/system for teaching the essential	Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i> , 31(6).
C3	expectations.	Office of Special Education Programs Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. (2004). <i>School-wide positive behavior support implementers'</i> <i>blueprint and self-assessment</i> . Eugene, OR: University of Oregon. From http://www.osepideasthatwork.org/toolkit/pdf/SchoolwideBehaviorSupport.pdf
	The staff has agreed to and documented 5 or fewer positively stated rules/behavioral	Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children,</i> 31(6).
		National Research Council, Snow, Catherine, Burns, Susan, and Griffin, Peg, <i>Editors</i> (1998). <i>Preventing Reading Difficulties in Young Children</i> . Washington, DC: National Academy Press. No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, Pub. L. No. 107-110, 115 Stat. 1425 (2002).
		National Research Council, Snow, Catherine, Burns, Susan, and Griffin, Peg, Editors (1999). Starting Out Right: A Guide to Promoting Children's Reading Success. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
		<i>Teaching Children to Read</i> . Washington, D.C.: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institute of Health, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. From http://www.nichd.nih.gov/publications/nrp/upload/report_pdf.pdf

	The staff is specifically trained in using academic and behavioral core, supplemental and intense	Gersten, R., Chard, D., & Baker, S. (2000). Factors enhancing sustained use of research-based instructional practices. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 33</i> (5) 445-457.
5	curricular materials and programs that they are responsible for providing. Coaching is provided as	Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i> , <i>31</i> (6).
C6	staff implements the curricula and programs to ensure fidelity of implementation.	Noell, G. H., Gresham, F. M., & Gansle, K. A. (2002). Does treatment integrity matter? A preliminary investigation of instructional implementation and mathematics performance. <i>Journal of Behavioral Education</i> , <i>11</i> , 51–67.
		Noell, G.H., Witt, J.C., Gilbertson, D.N., Ranier, D.D., & Freeland, J.T. (1997). Increasing teacher intervention implementation in general education settings through consultation and performance feedback. <i>School Psychology Quarterly</i> , <i>12</i> , 77-88.
	A process is in place to check the fidelity of academic and behavioral curricula and program	Jones, K.M., Wickstrom, K.F., & Friman, P.C. (1997). The effects of observational feedback on treatment integrity in school-based behavioral consultation. <i>School Psychology Quarterly</i> , <i>12</i> , 316-326.
	implementation at all tiers with feedback and coaching to staff provided throughout the year.	Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i> , <i>31</i> (6).
C7		Mortenson, B.P. & Witt, J.C. (1998). The use of weekly performance feedback to increase teacher implementation of a prereferral academic intervention. <i>School Psychology Review, 27,</i> 613-627.
		Noell, G.H., Gresham, F.M., & Gansle, K.A. (2002b). Does treatment integrity matter? A preliminary investigation of instructional implementation and mathematics performance. <i>Journal of Behavioral Education, 11,</i> 51-67.
		Noell, G.H., Witt, J.C., Gilbertson, D.N., Ranier, D.D., & Freeland, J.T. (1997). Increasing teacher intervention implementation in general education settings through consultation and performance feedback. <i>School Psychology Quarterly</i> , <i>12</i> , 77-88.

Instruction

Component 1: All Instructional Practices are Evidence Based

	•	
1	The staff has formally evaluated and documented the adequacy of all the academic and behavioral	Bonfiglio, C.M., Daly III, E.J., Persampieri, M., & Andersen, M.N. (2006). An experimental analysis of the effects of reading interventions in a small group reading instruction context. <i>Journal of Behavioral Education</i> , 15, 92-108.
	instructional practices used across all tiers.	Denton, C.A., Vaughn, S., & Fletcher, J.M. (2003). Bringing research-based practice in reading intervention to scale. <i>Learning Disabilities Research & Practice</i> , <i>18</i> , 201-211.

ned		 Gersten, R., Chard, D., & Baker, S. (2000). Factors enhancing sustained use of research-based instructional practices. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 33</i>(5) 445-457. Hawken. L.S. & Horner, R.H. (2003). Evaluation of a targeted intervention within a schoolwide system of behavioral support. <i>Journal of Behavioral Education, 12,</i> 225-240. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems
I1 continued		approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children, 31</i> (6).
		Torgesen, J.K., Rashotte, C.A., & Alexander, A.W. (2001). Principles of fluency instruction in reading: Relationships with established empirical outcomes. In M. Wolf (Ed.), <i>Dyslexia, fluency, and the brain</i> (pp. 333–335). Timonium, MD: York Press.
		Vaughn, S., & Linan-Thompson, S. (in press). <i>Reading: Effective instructional activities for elementary students</i> . Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
Cor	nponent 2: Instruction	al Practices are Implemented with Fidelity
	All staff is specifically trained in the use of targeted evidence-based instructional	Foorman, B.R. & Moats, L.C. (2004). Conditions for sustaining research-based practices in early reading instruction. <i>Remedial and Special Education</i> , <i>25</i> (1), 51-60.
	practices/strategies for academics and behavior. All staff understands the critical features and application in all settings. Ongoing support and coaching is provided as staff implements the instructional	Gersten, R., Chard, D., & Baker, S. (2000). Factors enhancing sustained use of research-based instructional practices. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 33</i> (5) 445-457.
		Noell, G. H., Witt, J. C., Gilbertson, D. N., Ranier, D. D., & Freeland, J. T. (1997). Increasing teacher intervention implementation in general education settings through consultation and performance feedback. <i>School Psychology Quarterly</i> , <i>12</i> , 77–88.
12	practices/strategies.	O'Conner, R.E., Fulmer, D., Harty, K.R., & Bell, K.M. (2005). Layers of Reading Intervention in Kindergarten through third grade: Changes in teaching and student outcomes. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 38</i> (5) 440-455.
		Vaughn, S., Klinger, J., & Hughes, M. (2000). Sustainability of research-based practices. <i>Exceptional Children, 66</i> (2), 163-171.
		Vaughn, S., & Linan-Thompson, S. (2003). What is special about special education for students with learning disabilities? <i>The Journal of Special Education</i> , <i>37</i> (3) 140-147.
		Witt, J. C., Noell, G. H., LaFleur, L. H., & Mortenson, B. P. (1997). Teacher use of interventions in general education: Measurement and analysis of the independent variable. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 30,</i> 693–696.

	The staff selects evidence- based instructional practices/strategies that are an appropriate match for the needs of the learner, academically and behaviorally.	 Denton, C.A., Vaughn, S., & Fletcher, J.M. (2003). Bringing research-based practice in reading intervention to scale. <i>Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, 18</i>(3), 201-211. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children, 31</i>(6).
		O'Conner, R.E., Fulmer, D., Harty, K.R., & Bell, K.M. (2005). Layers of Reading Intervention in Kindergarten through third grade: Changes in teaching and student outcomes. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 38</i> (5) 440-455.
I3		Torgesen, J.K. (2004). Avoiding the devastating downward spiral: The evidence that early intervention prevents reading failure. <i>American Educator, 28</i> , 6-19. Also at http://www.aft.org/pubsreports/american_educator/issues/fall04/reading.htm
		Torgeson, J.K., Wagner, R.K., & Rashotte, C.A. (1997). Preventing reading failure in young children with phonological processing disabilities: Group and individual responses to instruction. <i>Journal of Educational Psychology, 91</i> , 579-593.
		Torgeson, J.K., Alesancer, A.W., Wagner, R.K., Rashotte, C.A., Voeller, K.K.S., & Conway, T. (2001). Intensive remedial instruction for children with severe reading disabilities: Immediate and long-term outcomes from two instructional approaches. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 34</i> , 33-58.
	A process is in place to check the fidelity of instructional practices/strategies for	Foorman, B.R. & Moats, L.C. (2004). Conditions for sustaining research-based practices in early reading instruction. <i>Remedial and Special Education, 25</i> (1), 51-60.
	behavior and academics across all settings with feedback and coaching to staff provided throughout	Kovaleski, J.F., Gickling, E.E., Morrow, H., & Swank, P.R. (1999). High versus low implementation of instructional support teams: A case for maintaining program fidelity. <i>Remedial and Special Education</i> , 20, 170-183.
	the year.	Moncher, F.J. & Prinz, R.J. (1991). Treatment fidelity in outcome studies. <i>Clinical Psychology Review</i> , 11, 247-266.
I4		Vaughn, S., Hughes, M.T., Schumm, J.S., & Klingner, J. (1998). A collaborative effort to enhance reading and writing instruction in inclusion classrooms. <i>Learning Disability Quarterly, 21</i> (1), 57-74. (This research utilized the Intervention Validity Checklist developed by Texas Center for Reading and Language Arts at the University of Texas.)
		Vaughn, S., Klinger, J., & Hughes, M. (2000). Sustainability of research-based practices. <i>Exceptional Children, 66</i> (2), 163-171.
		Wanzek, J., & Vaughn, S. (2006). Bridging the research to practice gap: Maintaining the consistent implementation of research-based practices. In B. Cook & B. Schirmer (Eds.), <i>What is special about special education? Examining</i> <i>the role of evidence-based practices</i> (pp. 165-174). Austin, TX: PRO-ED.

Cor	Component 3: Schedule Allows for Protected Instruction Time		
	The schedule provides sufficient time for core, supplemental and intensive instruction and is	Foorman, B., Francis, D., Fletcher, J., Schatschneider, C., & Mehta, P. (1998). The role of instruction in learning to read: Preventing reading failure in at-risk children. <i>Journal of Educational Psychology, 90,</i> 37-55.	
I5	protected from all controllable interruptions and monitored to ensure that planned time is actualized.	Vaughn, S., Wanzek, J., Woodruff, A. L., & Linan-Thompson, S. (2007). Prevention and early identification of students with reading disabilities. In D. Haager, J. Klingner, & S. Vaughn (Eds.), <i>Evidence-Based Reading Practices for</i> <i>Response to Intervention</i> . Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.	
Cor	nponent 4: Flexible Gro	ouping Allows for Appropriate Instruction	
	Supplemental and intensive group size is based on the premise that as intensity of instruction increases, group size	Darling-Hammond, L. (2000). Teacher quality and student achievement: A review of state policy evidence. <i>Education Policy Analysis Archives, 8</i> (1). Retrieved April 2008 from http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v8n1/ Elbaum, B., Vaughn, S., Hughes, M.T., & Moody, S.W. (2000). How effective are	
	decreases, and instruction is delivered by highly trained staff.	one-to-one tutoring programs in reading for elementary students at risk for reading failure? A meta-analysis of the intervention research. <i>Journal of Educational Psychology, 92,</i> 605-619.	
		Harn, B., Kame'enui, E., & Simmons, D. (2007). The nature and role of the third tier in a prevention model for kindergarten students. In Haager, D., Klinger, J., and Vaughn, S. (Eds.), <i>Evidence-Based Reading Practices for Response to</i> <i>Intervention</i> . Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.	
16		Vaughn, S., Linan-Thompson, S., Kouzekanani, K., Bryant, D.P., Dickson, S., & Blozis, S.A. (2003). Reading instruction grouping for students with reading difficulties. <i>Remedial and Special Education, 24</i> (5) 301-315.	
		Vaughn, S., Wanzek, J., Woodruff, A. L., & Linan-Thompson, S. (2007). Prevention and early identification of students with reading disabilities. In D. Haager, J. Klingner, & S. Vaughn (Eds.), <i>Evidence-Based Reading Practices for</i> <i>Response to Intervention</i> . Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.	
		Vaughn, S., Mathes, P.G., Linan-Thompson, S., Cirino, P., Carlson, C., Francis, D.H., et. al. (1996). Reading instruction grouping for students with reading difficulties. <i>Remedial and Special Education, 24,</i> 301-315.	
		Wanzek, J. & Vaughn, S. (2007). Research-based implications from extensive early reading interventions. <i>School Psychology Review, 36</i> (4), 541-561.	

Data-Based Decision Making

Component 1: Structures for Data-Based Decision Making			
DBDM1	Clearly identified teams conduct data-based decision making at each level: • System (District/Building/Site) • Supplemental Instruction • Intensive Instruction	Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i> , <i>31</i> (6).	
DBDM2	All teams have a clear and consistent understanding of their roles and responsibilities to make decisions about the implementation, sufficiency and effectiveness of the curriculum and instruction, and have a forum to influence changes.	 Noyce, P., Perda, D., & Traver, R. (2000). Creating data-driven schools. <i>Educational Leadership</i>, <i>57</i>(5), 52-56. Schmoker, M. (1996). <i>Results</i>. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. Thousand, J. S., & Villa, R. A. (1992). Collaborative teams: A powerful tool in school restructuring. In R. A. Villa, J. S. Thousand, W. Stamback, & S. Stamback (Eds.), <i>Restructuring for caring & effective education: An administrative guide to creating heterogeneous schools</i> (pp. 73-108). Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes. 	
DBDM3	All staff is actively involved and has been trained in the problem solving process and uses it consistently to guide decisions related to academics and behavior, including following clearly documented decision rules.	 Jayanthi, M. & Friend, M. (1992). Interpersonal problem solving: A selective literature review to guide practice. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i>, <i>3</i>(1), 39-53. Telzrow, C.F., McNamara, K., & Hollinger, C.L. (2000). Fidelity of problem-solving implementation and relationship to student performance. <i>School Psychology Review</i>, <i>29</i>, 443-461. 	
DBDM4	All staff has a full and complete understanding of how to analyze collected data and how to interpret and report the results accurately and consistently, including helping families understand the meaning and use of the data.	 Bernhardt, V. L. (1998). <i>Data analysis for comprehensive school improvement</i>. Larchmont, NY Eye on Education. Holcomb, E. L. (1999). <i>Getting excited about data</i>. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press. Irvin, L. K., Horner, R. H., Ingram, K., Todd, A.W., et. al. (2006). Using Office Discipline Referral Data for Decision Making About Student Behavior in Elementary and Middle Schools: An Empirical Evaluation of Validity. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Positive Behavior Interventions</i>, 8(1), 10-23. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i>, <i>31</i>(6). Love, N. (2002). <i>Using data/getting results: A practical guide for school</i> <i>improvement in mathematics and science</i>. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc. 	

DBDM4 Continued		 Protheroe, N. (2001). Improving teaching and learning with data-based decisions: Asking the right questions and acting on the answers. <i>CTS Spectrum</i>, 19(3), 4-9. Sparks, D. (2000). Results are the reason. <i>Journal of Staff Development</i>. 21(3), 1-4.
Cor	nponent 2: Data-Based	Decision Making for Improving the System
DBDM5	A clearly identified team meets at regularly scheduled times to analyze system-wide data for academic and behavioral decision making.	 Fuchs, L., & Fuchs, D. (1986). Effects of systematic formative evaluation: A meta-analysis. <i>Exceptional Children</i>, 53, 199–208. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i>, 31(6).
		Lewis-Palmer, T., Sugai, G., Larson, S. (1999). Using Data to Guide Decisions about Program Implementation and Effectiveness: An Overview and Applied Example. <i>Effective School Practices</i> , 17, 4, 47-53.
DBDM6	 The team conducting system level decision making uses data from: Outcome Assessments Universal Screenings Progress Monitoring 	 Fuchs, L., & Fuchs, D. (1986). Effects of systematic formative evaluation: A meta- analysis. <i>Exceptional Children</i>, 53, 199–208. Lewis-Palmer, T., Sugai, G., Larson, S. (1999). Using Data to Guide Decisions about Program Implementation and Effectiveness: An Overview and Applied Example. <i>Effective School Practices</i>, 17, 4, 47-53.
DBDM7	 Progress Monitoring The team makes recommendations for adjustments to the system by analyzing: Sufficiency of instructional procedures Fidelity of implementation of all instruction Effectiveness in engaging learners, families and communities Sufficiency and effectiveness of the multi-tier system to meet the needs of all learners 	 Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i>, <i>14</i>(1) 1-25. Ervin, R.A., Schaughency, E., Matthews, A., Goodman, S.D. & McGlinchey, M.T. (2007). Primary and secondary prevention of behavior difficulties: developing a data-informed problem-solving model to guide decision-making at a school-wide level. <i>Psychology in the Schools</i>, <i>44</i>, 7-18. Greenwood, C.R., Terry, B., Arreaga-Mayer, C., & Finney, R. (1992). The classwide peer tutoring program: implementation factors moderating students' achievement. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i>, <i>25</i>, 101-116. Horner, R., Sugai, G. & Todd, A. W. (2001). "Data" Need Not Be a Four-Letter Word: Using Data to Improve Schoolwide Discipline. <i>Beyond Behavior</i>, <i>11</i>, <i>1</i>, 20-22.

Con	Component 3: Data-Based Decision Making for Improving Supplemental Instruction			
	A clearly identified team	Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems		
DBDM8	meets at regularly	approach to proactive schoolwide management. Focus on Exceptional Children,		
	scheduled times to analyze	31(6).		
	academic and behavioral			
П	data from groups receiving			
	supplemental instruction.			
	The team conducting	VanDerHeyden, A. M. & Burns, M. K. (2005). Using curriculum-based		
	decision making for	assessment and curriculum-based measurement to guide elementary		
	learners receiving	mathematics instruction: Effect on individual and group accountability scores.		
1 9	supplemental instruction	Assessment for Effective Intervention, 30, 15-31.		
DBDM9	uses data from:			
DB	 Universal Screenings 	Vaughn, S., Wanzek, J., Linan-Thompson, S., & Murray, C. (2007). Monitoring		
	 Diagnostic 	response to supplemental services for students at-risk for reading difficulties:		
	Assessments	High and low responders. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden		
	 Progress Monitoring 	(Eds.), The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of		
	The trees on charge	Assessment and Intervention. New York: Springer.		
	The team analyzes	Albers, C. A., Elliott, S. N., Kettler, R. J., & Roach, A. T. (2005). Evaluating		
	intervention data from	intervention outcomes. In R. Brown-Chidsey (Ed.), Assessment for Intervention:		
	supplemental instruction regarding grouping	A Problem-Solving Approach (pp. 329-351). New York: Guilford Press.		
	decisions, sufficiency of	Vaughn, S., Wanzek, J., Linan-Thompson, S., & Murray, C. (2007). Monitoring		
	supplemental instruction,	response to supplemental services for students at-risk for reading difficulties:		
	fidelity of implementation	High and low responders. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden		
10	of supplemental	(Eds.), The handbook of response-to-intervention: The science and practice of		
MC	instruction and curriculum,	assessment and intervention. New York: Springer.		
DBDM10	effectiveness in engaging	ussessment and intervention. New York, springer.		
р	families and makes			
	recommendations for			
	adjustments to the system			
	for curriculum and			
	instruction and programs			
	used for supplemental			
	instruction.			
Con		Decision Making for Improving Intensive Instruction		
	A clearly identified team	Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems		
	, meets at regularly	approach to proactive schoolwide management. Focus on Exceptional Children,		
	scheduled times to conduct	31(6).		
	decision making,			
111	addressing intensive			
DBDM11	instruction for academic			
DB	and behavioral program			
	decision making. This team			
	includes the family or			
	utilizes input and feedback			
	from the family.			

DBDM12	The teams conducting decision making for academic and/or behavior at the intensive level use data from diagnostic assessments and progress monitoring.	 VanDerHeyden, A. M. & Burns, M. K. (2005). Using curriculum-based assessment and curriculum-based measurement to guide elementary mathematics instruction: Effect on individual and group accountability scores. <i>Assessment for Effective Intervention</i>, 30, 15-31. Lewis, T.J., Garrison-Harrell, L. (1999 - Spring). Effective behavior support: Designing setting specific interventions. <i>Effective School Practices</i>, 17, 4, 38-46. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems
		approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children,</i> 31(6).
DBDM13	 The team analyzes individual learner intervention data regarding: Customization of individual intervention plans Effectiveness of customized intervention plans Fidelity of implementation of intervention plans Need to carry individual intervention plans forward into further evaluation 	 Barnett, D. W., Daly, E. J., III, Jones, K. M., & Lentz, F. E., Jr. (2004). Response to intervention: Empirically-based special service decisions from increasing and decreasing intensity single case designs. <i>The Journal of Special Education</i>, 38, 66–79. Barnett, D., Hawkins, R., Prasse, D., Graden, J., Nantais, M., & Pan, W. (2007). Decision-making validity in response to intervention. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), <i>The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention</i>. New York: Springer. Eckert, T.L., Ardoin, S. P., Daisey, D.M., & Scarola, M.D. (2000). Empirically evaluating the effectiveness of reading interventions: the use of brief experimental analysis and single-case design. <i>Psychology in the Schools</i>, 37, 463-474. Fuchs, D., Fuchs, L.S., & Bahr, M.W. (1990). Mainstream assistance teams: A scientific basis for the art of consultation. <i>Exceptional Children</i>, <i>57</i>, 128-139. Gansle, K. A. & Noell, G. H. (2007). The fundamental role of intervention: <i>The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention</i>. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), <i>The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention</i>. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), <i>The Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Assessment and Intervention</i>. New York: Springer. Gresham, F.M. (1989). Assessment of treatment integrity in school consultation and prereferral intervention. <i>School Psychology Review</i>, <i>18</i>, 37-50. Lewis, T.J., Sugai, G. (1999, February). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. <i>Focus on Exceptional Children</i>, <i>31</i>(6).
		Telzrow, C., McNamara, K., & Hollinger C. (2000). Fidelity of problem-solving implementation and relationship to student performance, <i>School Psychology Review, 29,</i> 443-461.

In	Integration and Sustainability		
Cor	nponent 1: Policies and	Resources are Aligned within the System	
ISI	Policies and decisions (including curriculum, instruction, scheduling, staffing, and family involvement) are mutually determined based upon current evidence regarding effective practices.	Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i> , 14(1) 1-25.	
IS2	The implementation of MTSS is guided by a formalized multi-year action plan and has resulted in both academics and behavior becoming the top goals.	Kratochwill, T.R., Volpiansky, P., Clements, M. & Ball, C. (2007). Professional development in implementing and sustaining multitier prevention models: Implications for response to intervention. <i>School Psychology Review</i> , 36(4), 618-631.	
IS3	Policy documents are available describing the vision and implementation of MTSS.	Biech, E. (2007). <i>Thriving through change: A leader's practical guide to change mastery.</i> Alexandria, VA: American Society for Training and Development.	
IS4	The realignment of resources and changes in educational practices within the entire educational system (including all state and federal programs and local resources) is occurring.	 Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2007). Systemic change for school improvement. Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation, 17(1), 55-77. Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation, 14(1) 1- 25. 	
Cor	nponent 2: Systems are	e Self-Correcting and Achieve Positive Outcomes for Learners	
IS5	All leadership teams have a formal process in place to annually review the implementation of decisions made as a result of data-based decision making and new evidence/research and to make changes as necessary.	 Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i>, 14(1) 1-25. Denton, C.A., Vaughn, S., & Fletcher, J.M. (2003). Bringing research-based practice in reading intervention to scale. <i>Learning Disabilities Research & Practice</i>, 18(3), 201-211. 	

	All leadership teams have a formal process in place to review learner data across all tiers from all indicators of	Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i> , 14(1) 1-25.
IS6	success and make necessary changes in the processes for	Denton, C.A., Vaughn, S., & Fletcher, J.M. (2003). Bringing research-based practice in reading intervention to scale. <i>Learning Disabilities Research &</i>
	data-based decision making, including data analysis,	Practice, 18(3), 201-211.
	decision rules and system responsiveness.	
	There is a formal process to	Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as
	monitor fidelity of implementation, outcomes	systemic change. Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation, 14(1) 1-25.
	and sustainability of all	Sugai, G. & Horner, R. (2006). A promising approach for expanding and sustaining
IS7	principles and practices of MTSS to ensure that	school-wide positive behavior support. <i>School Psychology Review</i> , 35, 245-259.
	changes are positive for	Kratchwill, T., Volpiansky, P., Clements, M., & Ball, C. (2007). Professional
	learner progress.	development in implementing and sustaining multitier prevention models: implications for Response to Intervention. <i>School Psychology Review, 36</i> (4), 618-
		631.
Cor	nponent 3: Leadership	Provide Staff Ongoing Support
	There is a formal, long term professional	Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as systemic change. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i> , 14(1) 1-25.
	development plan for all	Depter CA Vought S. & Eletcher LM (2002) Bringing research based practice in
	staff and administrators with all activities directly tied to practices that	Denton, C.A., Vaughn, S., & Fletcher, J.M. (2003). Bringing research-based practice in reading intervention to scale. <i>Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, 18</i> (3), 201-211.
	support the implementation and refinement of a multi-tier	Foorman, B.R. & Moats, L.C. (2004). Conditions for sustaining research-based practices in early reading instruction. <i>Remedial and Special Education</i> 25(1), 51-60.
IS8	system based upon local data.	Gersten, R., Chard, D., & Baker, S. (2000). Factors enhancing sustained use of research-based instructional practices. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities, 33</i> (5) 445-457.
		Greenwood, C.R., Delquadri, J., & Bulgren, J. (1993). Current challenges to behavioral technology in the reform of schooling: Large-scale high-quality implementation and sustained use of effective educational practices. <i>Education and Treatment of Children, 16</i> , 401-440.
		Klingner, J.K., Vaughn, S., Hughes, M.T., & Arguelles, M.E. (1999). Sustaining research-based practices in reading: A 3-year follow-up. <i>Remedial and Special Education, 20,</i> 263-274, 287.
		Vaughn, S., Klinger, J., & Hughes, M. (2000). Sustainability of research-based practices. <i>Exceptional Children, 66</i> (2), 163-171.
	The leadership team actively works to enhance staff	Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2007). Systemic change for school improvement. <i>Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation</i> , 17(1), 55-77.
IS9	motivation and capacity to be actively involved in	Adelman, H.S. & Taylor, L. (2003). On sustainability of project innovations as
	decision making and leading	systemic change. Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation, 14(1) 1-25.
	from within.	